Allowance under Hyderabed Marketing Regulation

146. Sri M. NAGAPPA (Raichur).—

Will the Minister for Development and Co-operation be pleased to state :—

(*a*) whether any sort of allowance is valid under Hyderabad Marketing Regulation ;

(*b*) if so, under what circumstances ;

(*c*) whether it has come to the notice of the Government that the Market Committee, Raichur, has resolved in the month of May to grant an allowance ;

(*d*) whether it is valid under the said Regulation ;

(*e*) if not, the action they propose to take against the Committee ;

(*f*) whether it is a fact that Agriculturists will be put to loss of Rs. 20 per quintal of groundnuts and Rs. 6 on cotton by the said resolution?

A.—Sri P. M. NADGOUDA (Minister for Development and Co-operation).—

(*a*) No ; except those sanctioned by the Market Committee under Rule 12 (a) of the Hyderabad Agricultural Markets Rules.

(*b*) As may be decided by the Market Committee.

(*c*) No.

(*d*) to (*f*) Do not arise in view of reply to clause (*c*).

Water Supply and Sewerage Board.

147. Sri T. R. SHAMANNA (Fort).—

Will the Minister for Municipal Administration be pleased to state :—

(*a*) when the Water Supply and Sewerage Board was formed ;

(*b*) whether the Board has been formed to arrange water supply to Bangalore from Cauvery River ; if so, the progress made by the Board in this direction ;

(*c*) the progress made in respect of the proposal to get World Bank loan for executing the above scheme ;

(*d*) whether it is a fact that the said proposal is abandoned ; if so, the steps proposed to be taken to implement the Cauvery Scheme ;

A.— Sri R. M. PATIL (Minister for Municipal Administration).—

(*a*) 1st day of October 1964.

(*b*) No.

(*c*) The World Bank loan has been rejected as the financial conditions imposed by them required a level of taxation which was found unrealistic in local conditions.

(*d*) Yes. The Board has proposed to implement the scheme in two stages from available resources of the State;

(i) Pumping of additional Water Supply of 30 million gallons a day from river Cauvery at a cost of Rs. 22.32 Crores to be taken up immediately.

(ii) Supply of another 30 million gallons a day extra to be taken up later on at the appropriate time.

CALL ATTENTION TO A MATTER OF URGENT PUBLICIMPORTANCE *re*: COMPENSATION TO RYOTS OF KOTNAL AND KOLURGI VILLAGES OF INDI TALUK

Sri S. S. ARAKERI (Ballolli).—Sir, I beg to call the attention of the Minister for Revenue and Forests to compensation to the ryots of Kotnal and Kolurgi Villages of Indi Taluk.

† B. RACHAIAH (Minister for Revenue and Forests).—Sir, an extent of 114 acres and 17 guntas of land has been acquired for the construction of irrigation tank at Kotnal Village in Indi Taluka. The possession of the lands has been taken over by the P.W.D. by private negotiations on 20th June 1962. The proposals for acquisition of the lands were received in March 1963. The preliminary enquiry was compeleted in Septmber 1963. The notification under section 4 was published in the Gazette in February 1964. Directions under Section 6–1A was issued by Government in Angust 1964. After completion of the operations under Section 6–1A proposals were submitted to Government on 20th June 1965 for issue of notification under Section 6 of the Land Acquisition Act and was published in the Mysore Gazette on 7th October 1965. Notices under Section 9 were published and got served during November 1965. In the course of drafting the award, it was noticed that S. No. 155/1 of Kotnal was omitted from the notification under Section 4 and there was a printing mistake in the notification under Section 6 in respect of the area of S. No. 149/1. The requisite erratum notification and notification